



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/741,564		12/18/2000	John M. Hibscher	03405.018001	6450		
25541	7590	05/09/2003					
					NER		
TEN SOUTI CHICAGO,		ER DRIVE, SUITE 6-7482	4000	ENATSKY,	ENATSKY, AARON L		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3713	16		
				DATE MAILED: 05/09/2003	10		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
*		1	GL		
Advisory Act. In	09/741,564	HIBSCHER ET AL.			
	Examiner Acron L England	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Aaron L Enatsky	3713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	<ol> <li>a timely filed amendment whi</li> </ol>	ich places the applic	ation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	ee MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (	ension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) 🗌 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	implifying the		
(d) 🗌 they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	l amendment		
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:	•			
Claim(s) allowed:			•		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-32</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exam	iner.		
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			
10. ☐ Other:					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendments newly require game processing at a remote computer rather than at a local system as previously claimed.

VALENCIA MARTIN-WALLACE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700